

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA **149**, 419–437 (1992)

Semisimple Extensions and Elements of Trace 1

MIRIAM COHEN*

*Department of Mathematics & Computer Science,
Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beersheva 84105, Israel*

AND

DAVIDA FISCHMAN*,†

*Department of Theoretical Mathematics,
The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel**Communicated by Susan Montgomery*

Received May 29, 1990

INTRODUCTION

Let H be a Hopf algebra which is Frobenius over a commutative ring k . Let A be an H -module algebra, A^H its ring of invariants under the H -action, and $A \# H$ the associated semidirect, or smash, product. This setup has been shown by the authors and S. Montgomery [CF, CFM], to give rise to a Morita context $[A^H, A, A, A \# H]$ with structure maps $[\ , \]: A \otimes_{A^H} A \rightarrow A \# H$, and $(\ , \): A \otimes_{A \# H} A \rightarrow A^H$. The comodule theoretic concept of H -Galois extensions was shown [CFM] under these circumstance to be equivalent to surjectivity of $[\ , \]$. In this paper we start out by showing that another important comodule theoretic concept, that of a total integral [D1], can be translated to a module theoretic concept as well. We show that existence of a total integral is equivalent to the surjectivity of $(\ , \)$, which we also termed suggestively: existence of an element $c \in A$ of trace 1. Furthermore, if this element c centralizes A^H , then A^H is an A^H -bimodule direct summand of A . We apply these to the Morita context associated with the H^* -module algebra $A \# H$, to prove the main theorem of this paper (Theorem 1.8). This theorem deals with semisimple extensions (sometimes coined “Maschke type” theorems), or better yet, with separable extensions. We show that when A/A^H is H^* -Galois,

* The authors were supposed by the Fund for Basic Research administered by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

† The second author is a recipient of a Sir Charles Clore Fellowship. Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113.

separability of the extension A/A^H is equivalent to the existence of a trace 1 element $\omega \in A \# H$ which centralizes A . This, in turn, is equivalent to A being an A -bimodule direct summand of $A \# H$. Any of these equivalent conditions imply that A/A^H is a semisimple extension. As a corollary we deduce a result of Doi: if A/A^H is H^* -Galois and $\varepsilon(T) = 1$, $T \in \int_l^{H^*}$, then A/A^H is a separable extension. But more is true: in Theorem 1.15 we show that when k is a field under an additional assumption on H , for any Hopf subalgebra H' of H , $A^{H'}/A^H$ is a separable extension as well. In particular, if k is a field, H and H^* are both semisimple, and A/A^H is H^* -Galois, then the conclusion of 1.15 holds.

Since $A \#_\sigma H$ is an H -Galois extension, in Theorem 1.11 we use the main theorem to show that if the right integral of H is cocommutative and A has a central element of trace 1, then $A \#_\sigma H/A$ is a separable extension. Consequently, using [RR], we show in Theorem 1.23 that under the above hypotheses, various properties go up from A to $A \#_\sigma H$, thus generalizing results of [RR, So]; for example, we show that $\text{gl.dim } A = \text{gl.dim } A \#_\sigma H$.

0. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we summarize some characteristics of Frobenius algebras, Galois extensions, semisimple extensions, and separable extensions.

Throughout, k is a commutative ring, H is a Hopf algebra over k , with comultiplication Δ , counit ε , and antipode S . We use the "sigma" notation of [Sw]; any tensor product not otherwise specified will be over k . \int_l (\int_r) will denote the space of left (right) integrals in H ; when we need to specify the Hopf algebra, we write \int_l^H (\int_r^H). Also, A will denote a left H -module algebra.

Recall the definition of a Frobenius algebra:

DEFINITION 0.1 [Par]. An algebra H is called *Frobenius* if it is finitely generated projective, and there is a right (or left) H -module isomorphism

$$\theta: H_H \rightarrow H_H^*$$

called the *Frobenius isomorphism*. The right H -module structure on H^* is given by $(b^* \leftarrow a)((c) = b^*(ac)$. Note that when H^* is a Hopf algebra, this action coincides with the usual one:

$$b^* \leftarrow a = \sum b_{(2)}^* \langle b_{(1)}^*, a \rangle.$$

0.2. We now summarize the facts we will be using for a Hopf algebra H which is a Frobenius algebra. For proofs of these see [Par]. First, note

that any finite dimensional Hopf algebra over a field is Frobenius. Now, when H is Frobenius, so is H^* , and the Frobenius isomorphism for H is given by

$$\theta: H \rightarrow H^*, \quad \theta(h) = h \rightarrow T$$

(a left H -module map in this case), where $T \in \int_l^{H^*}$ generates the space of left integrals in H^* over k . Also, there is a left integral $t \in \int_l$, which generates (over k) the space of left integrals in H , and for which

$$t \rightarrow T = \varepsilon.$$

Any finitely generated projective Hopf algebra over a commutative ring has a bijective antipode, and it is easy to check that in the above circumstances, the following connections hold:

$$(0.3) \quad T \rightarrow t = T \rightarrow S^{-1}(t) = 1$$

and

$$T \leftarrow S^{-1}(t) = \varepsilon.$$

In view of this, we set $u \in \int_r$, $u = S^{-1}(t)$. This right integral will be used in the next section.

Whenever H has a left integral t as above, there is an element $\lambda \in H^*$ defined by $th = \lambda(h)t \forall h \in H$; λ is grouplike by its definition, and $\lambda(1)t = t$ implies $\lambda(1) = 1$, since \int_l is free over k , spanned by t . This element is of crucial importance in defining the Morita context, for we use it to define an automorphism on H ,

$$(0.4) \quad h^\lambda = \lambda \rightarrow h = \sum h_{(1)} \langle \lambda, h_{(2)} \rangle,$$

and this we extend to an automorphism of $A \# H$ via $(a \# h)^\lambda = a \# h^\lambda$ [CFM]. H is *unimodular* (i.e., $\int_l = \int_r$) iff $\lambda = \varepsilon$.

Note that for t, T as above, $T \leftarrow t = \lambda$, for if $g \in H$ then

$$\langle T \leftarrow t, g \rangle = \langle T, tg \rangle = \langle \lambda, g \rangle \langle T, t \rangle = \langle \lambda, g \rangle.$$

Here we have the easily checked formulae $\forall g \in H$:

- (0.5) (a) $S(g) = (T \leftarrow g) \rightarrow t$
 (b) $S^{-1}(g^\lambda) = (g \rightarrow T) \rightarrow t$
 and if T is also a right integral in H^* , then
 (c) $S(g^\lambda) = t \leftarrow (g \rightarrow T)$
 (d) A is an H^* -comodule algebra via

$$\rho(a) = \sum t_{(1)} \cdot a \otimes S^{-1}(t_{(2)} \rightarrow T) \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Note that (a) implies that $S(t) = t^\lambda$. Let us write ah for $a \# h$, and denote by S the antipode of H^* as well as that of H (it will be clear by the context which is meant).

Recall that A is a left $A \# H$ -module via the action

$$(0.6) \quad (ah) \cdot b = a(h \cdot b)$$

and a right $A \# H$ -module via

$$(0.7) \quad a \cdot (bh) = S^{-1}(h) \cdot (ab).$$

In order to form the Morita context, we have defined a new right action of $A \# H$ on A [CFM]:

$$(0.8) \quad a \leftarrow (bh) = a \cdot (bh)^\lambda = S^{-1}(h^\lambda) \cdot (ab).$$

The following context was first introduced in [CFM] for H finite dimensional over a field, and generalizes [CF].

THEOREM 0.9. *Let H be a Frobenius Hopf algebra, and A a left H -module algebra; let $t \in \int_l$ as in (0.2). Consider A as a left (right) A^H -module via left (right) multiplication, as a left $A \# H$ -module as in (0.6), and a right $A \# H$ -module as in (0.8). Then $[A^H, {}_{A^H}A_{A \# H, A \# H}A_{A^H}, A \# H]$, together with the maps*

$$(0.10) \quad [\cdot, \cdot]: A \otimes_{A^H} A \rightarrow A \# H, \quad [a, b] = atb$$

$$(0.11) \quad (\cdot, \cdot): A \otimes_{A \# H} A \rightarrow A^H, \quad (a, b) = t \cdot (ab)$$

is a Morita context.

The proof in [CFM] carries through as is.

All of the results of [CFM, Sec. 2] now follow for the case of H Frobenius over a commutative ring k .

We now turn to Galois extensions.

DEFINITION 0.12 [KT]. Let H be a Hopf algebra, and A a right H -comodule algebra. $A/A^{\text{co}H}$ is said to be H -Galois if the map $\beta: A \otimes_{A^{\text{co}H}} A \rightarrow A \otimes H$, $\beta(a \otimes b) = \sum ab_{(0)} \otimes b_{(1)}$, is a bijection. Recall that $A^{\text{co}H} = \{a \in A \mid \rho(a) = a \otimes 1\}$, and if H is finitely generated projective as an algebra, then $A^{\text{co}H} = A^{H^*}$.

Remark 0.13. (a) A/A^H is H^* -Galois iff the map $[\cdot, \cdot]$ of the above Morita context is surjective [CFM, Th. 1.2]. So, let $\{x_i, y_i\} \subset A$ s.t. $\sum_i [x_i, y_i] = 1$. Then $\forall a \in A$:

$$a = a \sum_i [x_i, y_i] = \sum_i (a, x_i) y_i = \sum_i t \cdot (ax_i) y_i$$

and

$$a = \sum_i [x_i, y_i] a = \sum_i x_i (y_i, a) = \sum_i x_i t \cdot (y_i a).$$

In particular, if $a = 1$, $1 = \sum (t \cdot x_i) y_i = \sum x_i (t \cdot y_i)$.

(b) Furthermore, surjectivity of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ implies its injectivity by the Morita theorems [Jac, Morita I]. Thus if $\{u_i, v_i\} \subset A$ are elements such that $\sum_i [u_i, v_i] \in C_{A \# H}(A)$, then

$$\forall a \in A : \sum a u_i \otimes v_i = \sum u_i \otimes v_i a.$$

In particular, if $\sum [x_i, y_i] = 1$, then $\sum a x_i \otimes y_i = \sum x_i \otimes y_i a$. Obviously, if A/A^H is H^* -Galois, and $\sum a_i \otimes b_i$ is a separability idempotent (as in the following definition), then $\omega = \sum [a_i, b_i] \in C_{A \# H}(A)$.

(c) If also the map (\cdot, \cdot) of the Morita context is onto, then the Morita theorems yield a bijective correspondence between H -stable right ideals of A , and H^* -stable right ideals of $A \# H$; also between the right ideals of A^H and the H -stable right ideals of A . Of course the left-hand version holds also.

So-called “Maschke type” theorems [Pas, CF, D] are actually instances of semisimple extensions.

DEFINITION 0.14 [HS]. Let A be a ring, and $B < A$ a subring. The extension A/B is said to be a *left semisimple extension* if every submodule of a left A -module which is a B -direct summand is an A -direct summand. (Right semisimple extensions are defined analogously.)

A *separable extension* of A over a (not necessarily commutative) ring B is defined as for separable extension over commutative rings [HS]—i.e., via the existence of a *separability idempotent*: $\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in A \otimes_B A$ s.t. $\forall x \in A$

$$(0.15) \quad \sum x a_i \otimes b_i = \sum a_i \otimes b_i x \quad \text{and} \quad \sum a_i b_i = 1.$$

Note that a separable extension is a left and right semisimple extension by [HS, 2.6]. The following is well known for B commutative, and holds for B noncommutative as well.

Remark 0.16. A/B is a separable extension iff the multiplication map $A \otimes_B A \xrightarrow{\mu} A \rightarrow 0$ splits as an A -bimodule map. The splitting map is then given by $p: A \rightarrow A \otimes_B A$, $p(a) = \sum a_i \otimes b_i a$.

One more structure we will consider is the generalized crossed product $A \#_\sigma H$, for H acting weakly on A , and for a normal cocycle σ (see

[BCM] for properties and discussion of $A \#_{\sigma} H$). We will assume throughout that the cocycles are normal and (convolution) invertible. The following technical lemma will be necessary:

LEMMA 0.17. *Assume H acts weakly on the algebra A and σ is an invertible cocycle. Then $\forall g, h, l, m \in H$:*

$$(1) \quad 1 \#_{\sigma} gh = \sum (\sigma^{-1}(g_{(1)}, h_{(1)}) \#_{\sigma} g_{(2)})(1 \#_{\sigma} h_{(2)}).$$

$$(2) \quad \sum \sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}, l_{(1)})(h_{(2)} \cdot \sigma(l_{(2)}, m)) \\ = \sum \sigma(h_{(1)}l_{(1)}, m_{(1)}) \sigma^{-1}(h_{(2)}, l_{(2)}m_{(2)}).$$

$$(3) \quad \sum \sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}, l_{(1)}m_{(1)})(h_{(2)} \cdot \sigma^{-1}(l_{(2)}, m_{(2)})) \\ = \sum \sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}l_{(1)}, m) \sigma^{-1}(h_{(2)}, l_{(2)}).$$

Proof. (1) By direct computation

$$\sum (\sigma^{-1}(g_{(1)}, h_{(1)}) \#_{\sigma} g_{(2)})(1 \#_{\sigma} h_{(2)}) \\ = \sum \sigma^{-1}(g_{(1)}, h_{(1)}) \sigma(g_{(2)}, h_{(2)}) \#_{\sigma} g_{(3)}h_{(3)} = 1 \#_{\sigma} gh.$$

$$(2) \quad \sum \sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}, l_{(1)})(h_{(2)} \cdot \sigma(l_{(2)}, m)) \quad (\text{since } \sigma * \sigma^{-1} = id) \\ = \sum \sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}, l_{(1)})(h_{(2)} \cdot \sigma(l_{(2)}, m_{(1)})) \sigma(h_{(3)}, l_{(3)}m_{(2)}) \sigma^{-1}(h_{(4)}, l_{(4)}m_{(3)}) \\ \text{by the cocycle condition} \\ = \sum \sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}, l_{(1)}) \sigma(h_{(2)}, l_{(2)}) \sigma(h_{(3)}l_{(3)}, m_{(1)}) \sigma^{-1}(h_{(4)}, l_{(4)}m_{(2)}) \\ = \sum \sigma(h_{(1)}l_{(1)}, m_{(1)}) \sigma^{-1}(h_{(2)}, l_{(2)}m_{(2)}).$$

(3) By [BM, 1.16]:

$$h \cdot \sigma^{-1}(l, m) = \sum \sigma(h_{(1)}, l_{(1)}m_{(1)}) \sigma^{-1}(h_{(2)}l_{(2)}, m_{(2)}) \sigma^{-1}(h_{(3)}, l_{(3)}).$$

So:

$$\sum \sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}, l_{(1)}m_{(1)})(h_{(2)} \cdot \sigma^{-1}(l_{(2)}, m_{(2)})) \\ = \sum \sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}, l_{(1)}m_{(1)}) \sigma(h_{(2)}, l_{(2)}m_{(2)}) \sigma^{-1}(h_{(3)}l_{(3)}, m_{(3)}) \sigma^{-1}(h_{(4)}, l_{(4)}) \\ = \sum \sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}, l_{(1)}m) \sigma^{-1}(h_{(2)}, l_{(2)}). \quad \blacksquare$$

$A \#_{\sigma} H$ is a left H^* -module algebra via the action $h^* \rightarrow (a \#_{\sigma} g) = a \#_{\sigma} h^* \rightarrow g = \sum a \#_{\sigma} g_{(1)} \langle h^*, g_{(2)} \rangle$. Note that $h^* \rightarrow (a \#_{\sigma} g)(b \#_{\sigma} 1) = (a \#_{\sigma} (h^* \rightarrow g))(b \#_{\sigma} 1)$.

1. SEMISIMPLE EXTENSIONS

Throughout this section, k will be a commutative ring, and H a Hopf algebra, Frobenius as an algebra.

Let A be a left H -module algebra, and define the *trace map*, $\text{tr}: A \rightarrow A^H$ via

$$(1.1) \quad \text{tr}(a) = t \cdot a, \quad a \in A.$$

Note that the existence of an element, $c \in A$ of trace 1, is equivalent to the map $(,): A \otimes_{A^H} A \rightarrow A^H$ being surjective.

Our main theorems in this section will generalize some results from H finite dimensional semisimple to the case when H is Frobenius and the map $(,)$ is onto (e.g., [CF, Th. 4; D2, Th. 4]). That this is indeed a generalization we see in the following example:

EXAMPLE 1.2. Let k and K be fields, $\text{char } k = p$, and K a field extension of k . Let $\phi \in \text{Aut } K$ be an automorphism that satisfies $\phi^p = 1$. Then $\{1, \phi, \dots, \phi^{p-1}\}$ are linearly independent; set $G = \langle \phi \rangle$. Now consider $K \# kG$; $t = \sum \phi^i$ is cocommutative (since all of kG is), so take $a \in K$ with $t \cdot a = \sum \phi^i(a) \neq 0$, and set $c = (1/\sum \phi^i(a))a$. Then $t \cdot c = 1$, $H = kG$ is not semisimple; however, $K \# kG$ is semisimple by Theorem 1.11. ■

Remark 1.3. When H is finite dimensional over a field k , it is well known that semisimplicity of H is equivalent to $\varepsilon(t) = 1$. When H is Frobenius and k is a commutative ring, if $\varepsilon(t) = 1$, H will be a separable extension of k via the separability idempotent $\sum t_{(1)} \otimes S(t_{(2)})$, and so a semisimple extension.

When $(,): A \otimes_{A^H} A \rightarrow A^H$ is surjective, we have the following result, which in the case of k a field is due to [D1].

PROPOSITION 1.4. Assume $(,)$ is surjective and V is a left A^H -module. Consider $A \otimes_{A^H} V$ as a left H module via H acting on A on the left. Then $V \cong (A \otimes_{A^H} V)^H$ via $\varphi: 1 \otimes V \rightarrow (A \otimes_{A^H} V)^H$, $\varphi(1 \otimes v) = 1 \otimes v$.

Proof. Let $c \in A$ be a trace 1 element. Define $\psi: (A \otimes_{A^H} V)^H \rightarrow 1 \otimes_{A^H} V$ via

$$\psi \sum a_i \otimes v_i = tc \cdot \sum a_i \otimes v_i.$$

Then $\psi(\sum a_i \otimes v_i) = \sum t \cdot (ca_i) \otimes v_i = 1 \otimes \sum (t \cdot ca_i) \cdot v_i \in 1 \otimes V$ and ψ is the inverse to φ , for

$$\psi \varphi(1 \otimes v) = (t \cdot c) \otimes v = 1 \otimes v$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi\psi\left(\sum a_i \otimes v_i\right) &= \varphi\left(tc \cdot \left(\sum a_i \otimes v_i\right)\right) \\
 &= \varphi\left(\sum (t_{(1)} \cdot c) t_{(2)} \cdot \left(\sum a_i \otimes v_i\right)\right) \\
 &\quad \left(\text{since } \sum a_i \otimes v_i \in (A \otimes_{A^H} V)^H\right) \\
 &= \varphi\left(\sum (t_{(1)} \cdot c) \varepsilon(t_{(2)}) \sum a_i \otimes v_i\right) \\
 &= (t \cdot c) \sum a_i \otimes v_i = \sum a_i \otimes v_i. \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that a total integral [D1] is a morphism $\phi: H^* \rightarrow A$ which is a right H^* -comodule map satisfying $\phi(\varepsilon) = 1$. Our next proposition connects the concept of total integrals and the map $(,)$:

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let A be a left H -module algebra. Then:*

(1) $(,)$ is surjective \Leftrightarrow there exists a total integral $\phi: H^* \rightarrow A$.

(2) There exists $c \in C_A(A^H)$ ($=$ the centralizer of A^H in A) so that $t \cdot c = 1 \Leftrightarrow$ there exists a total integral $\phi: H^* \rightarrow C_A(A^H)$.

Proof. (1) $(,)$ is surjective \Leftrightarrow there exists $c \in A$ s.t. $t \cdot c = 1$. Assuming there exists such an element, define $\phi: H^* \rightarrow A$ as follows: Set $\phi(T) = c$, and for $h^* \in H^*$ let $\phi(h^*) = \theta^{-1}(h^*) \cdot c$ (θ as in 0.2). Then ϕ is a left H -module map (since θ is)—hence a right H^* -comodule map. Also, $\phi(\varepsilon) = \theta^{-1}(\varepsilon) \cdot c = 1$, so ϕ is a total integral. Conversely, if $\phi: H^* \rightarrow A$ is a total integral, set $c = \phi(T)$, so that $t \cdot c = t \cdot \phi(T) = \phi(t \rightarrow T) = \phi(\varepsilon) = 1$.

(2) If $c \in C_A(A^H)$, then $\forall a \in A^H$, $h^* \in H^*$, and $h = \theta^{-1}(h^*)$:

$$a\phi(h^*) = a(h \cdot c) = h \cdot (ac) = h \cdot (ca) = (h \cdot c)a = \phi(h^*)a.$$

On the other hand, if $\phi(H^*) \subset C_A(A^H)$, then $c = \phi(T) \in C_A(A^H)$. \blacksquare

Assuming A/A^H to be a Galois extension, existence of trace 1 elements is equivalent to direct summand requirements on A^H :

PROPOSITION 1.6. *Let A/A^H be H^* -Galois. Then:*

(1) A^H is a right (left) A^H -direct summand of $A \Leftrightarrow A$ has a trace 1 element, c [KT].

(2) A^H is an A^H -bimodule direct summand of $A \Leftrightarrow A$ has a trace 1 element $c \in C_A(A^H)$.

Proof. (1) We reprove (1), since this proof gives the element explicitly. (\Rightarrow) By [CFM, Th. 1.2], A/A^H is H^* -Galois implies that $A \# H \cong^\pi \text{End}(A_{A^H})$, with π the ring isomorphism defined by the left $A \# H$ action on A . Assuming A^H is an A^H -direct summand of A , there exists a right A^H -projection $p: A \rightarrow A^H$. Let $e = \pi^{-1}(p)$; then $\forall a \in A, x \in A^H: e(ax) = (e \cdot a)x$, and $e \cdot a \in A^H$.

Let $x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_r \in A$ s.t. $\sum [x_i, y_i] = 1$. Then $\sum x_i(t \cdot y_i) = 1$ (by 0.13). Hence $1 = e \cdot 1 = \sum e \cdot x_i(t \cdot y_i) = t \cdot (\sum (e \cdot x_i) y_i)$. Set $c = \sum (e \cdot x_i) y_i$ to get the desired element.

The proof of the left analogue is similar.

Note that $e = tc$, for $\forall a \in A, a = \sum x_i t \cdot (h_i a)$ (by 0.13); hence $e \cdot a = \sum (e \cdot x_i) t \cdot (y_i a) = t \cdot (\sum (e \cdot x_i) y_i a) = tc \cdot a$. But π is an isomorphism; hence $e = tc$.

(\Leftarrow) If $c \in A$ has trace 1, set $e = tc$. Then $\pi(e)$ is a right A^H -projection, since $\forall x \in A^H: \pi(e)(x) = e \cdot x = t \cdot (cx) = (t \cdot c)x = x$.

(2) (\Rightarrow) Let p, c, e be as in (1) with p an A^H -bimodule morphism (or equivalently, p commutes with A^H). Since π is a ring homomorphism, this implies that $tc = e = \pi^{-1}(p)$ commutes with A^H . But t commutes with A^H , so c does too.

(\Leftarrow) If c commutes with A^H , so does $e = tc$; hence $p = \pi(e)$ is an A^H -bimodule projection.

Part (2) may also be shown as a consequence of Proposition 1.5 and [D1]. ■

In the proof of (1), H^* -Galois is not necessary to prove (\Leftarrow) ; analogously, we have:

PROPOSITION 1.7. *Let M be a left $A \# H$ -module, and assume there exists $c \in C_A(A^H)$ of trace 1. Then M^H is an A^H -direct summand of M .*

Proof. Define $p: M \rightarrow M^H$ by $p(m) = tc \cdot m$. Then p is an A^H -projection, for if $x \in A^H$, then $p(x \cdot m) = tcx \cdot m = txc \cdot m = x(tc \cdot m) = x \cdot p(m)$; also if $m \in M^H$ then $p(m) = tc \cdot m = \sum (t_{(1)} \cdot c)(t_{(2)} \cdot m) = (t \cdot c)m = m$. ■

We now come to the central theorem of this paper. Recall $T \in \int_l^{H^*}$ and $T \rightarrow t = 1$ (0.3).

THEOREM 1.8. *Let H be a Hopf algebra, Frobenius as an algebra, and let A/A^H be an H^* -Galois extension ($\sum [x_i, y_i] = 1$). Then conditions (1)–(6) are equivalent:*

- (1) A/A^H is a separable extension.
- (2) $\exists \omega \in C_{A \# H}(A)$ with $T \rightarrow \omega = 1$.

- (3) A is an A -bimodule direct summand of $A \# H$.
 (4) There exists an element $c \in A$ such that $\sum x_i c y_i = 1$.
 (5) There exists an element $c \in C_A(A^H)$ such that $\sum x_i c y_i = 1$.
 (6) [DT, Th. 3.14] There exists a total integral $\phi: H \rightarrow C_A(A^H)$.

If any of the above hold, then A/A^H is a left and right semisimple extension. Moreover, if A^H is semisimple Artinian, then so is A .

Proof. By Remark 0.13(b), $[\ , \]$ is bijective and we use this here.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $\sum a_i \otimes b_i \in A \otimes_{A^H} A$ be the separability idempotent for A/A^H , and set $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^m [a_i, b_i]$. Then $\omega \in C_{A \# H}(A)$ (by 0.13(b)), and $T \rightarrow \omega = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i (T \rightarrow t) b_i = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i = 1$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \otimes b_i = [\ , \]^{-1} \omega$. Then by 0.13(b)

$$\forall a \in A, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a a_i \otimes b_i = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \otimes b_i a$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i = T \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m a_i t b_i = T \rightarrow \omega = 1.$$

Hence A/A^H is a separable extension.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows from Proposition 1.6(2), by taking $B = A \# H$, acted upon by the Hopf algebra H^* , and for which $B^{H^*} = (A \# H)^{H^*} \cong A$ (as rings, [BCM]).

(5) \Rightarrow (4) is obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Set $\sum a_i \otimes b_i = \sum x_i c \otimes y_i$. Then $\sum a_i \otimes b_i$ is a separability idempotent, for $\sum a_i b_i = \sum x_i c y_i = 1$, and if $a \in A$, then $\sum a x_i c \otimes y_i = \sum x_i c \otimes y_i a$ (by 0.13(b)).

(1) \Rightarrow (5) Let $\sum a_i \otimes b_i$ be the separability idempotent, and set $c = \sum a_i (t \cdot b_i)$. Then

$$\sum_{i,j} x_i a_j (t \cdot b_j) y_i = \sum_{i,j} a_j t \cdot (b_j x_i) y_i = (\text{by 0.13(a)}) \sum_j a_j b_j = 1;$$

furthermore, $c \in C_A(A^H)$, for if $a \in A^H$ then

$$\sum a a_i (t \cdot b_i) = (\text{by 0.13(b)}) \sum a_i (t \cdot b_i a) = \sum a_i (t \cdot b_i) a.$$

(5) \Leftrightarrow (6) Recall the right Miyashita–Ulbrich action of H^* on $C_A(A^H)$: if $h^* \in H^*$, let $\beta^{-1}(1 \otimes h^*) = \sum u_i \otimes v_i \in A \otimes_{A^H} A$. Then $x \cdot h^* = \sum u_i x v_i \ \forall x \in C_A(A^H)$. Similarly to 0.7, this translates to a left action of H^* on $C_A(A^H)$ via $h^* \cdot x = x \cdot S^{-1}(h^*)$. Now, $\beta(\sum x_i \otimes y_i) = 1 \otimes S^{-1}(T)$, for by

0.5(d), if $a \in A$, then $\rho(a) = \sum t_{(1)} \cdot a \otimes S^{-1}(t_{(2)} \rightarrow T)$, so $\beta(a \otimes b) = \sum a(t_{(1)} \cdot b) \otimes S^{-1}(t_{(2)} \rightarrow T) \quad \forall a, b \in A$. Hence $\sum_{i, (t)} x_i(t_{(1)} \cdot y_i) \# t_{(2)} = \sum [x_i, y_i] = 1$ implies that $\beta(\sum_i x_i \otimes y_i) = 1 \otimes S^{-1}(T)$. Thus $T \cdot x = x \cdot S^{-1}(T) = \sum x_i x y_i \quad \forall x \in C_A(A^H)$. Proposition 1.5(1) applied to the H^* -module algebra $C_A(A^H)$ now yields (5) \Leftrightarrow (6). Note that this proof takes a different approach to that of [DT].

If any of the conditions (1)–(6) hold, then in particular (1) does—hence A/A^H is a left and right semisimple extension [HS, 2.6]. Thus complete reducibility as an A^H -module implies complete reducibility as an A -module. ■

As an immediate corollary, we get the following generalization of [CF, BM]:

COROLLARY 1.9. *Let H act weakly on A , let σ be a normal invertible cocycle, and suppose $\varepsilon(t) = 1$. Then $A \#_{\sigma} H$ is a separable extension of A . In particular [BM], $A \#_{\sigma} H$ is a semisimple extension of A .*

Proof. By [BM, 1.18], $A \#_{\sigma} H/A$ is H -Galois. Now take $\omega = 1 \# 1 \# \varepsilon$. Then $t \rightarrow \omega = t \rightarrow \varepsilon = \varepsilon(t) = 1$, and $\omega \in C_{A \#_{\sigma} H \# H^*}(A \#_{\sigma} H)$ trivially. ■

When H is not semisimple, but A has a trace 1 element, we get still another corollary relating A to $A \#_{\sigma} H$, under certain circumstances. An important condition here is that H has a right integral $t \in \int_r$ which is cocommutative: $\Delta(t) = \sum_{(t)} t_{(1)} \otimes t_{(2)} = \sum_{(t)} t_{(2)} \otimes t_{(1)}$.

The following was shown [LR, Prop. 8] to hold for H finite dimensional over a field k , using bilinear forms. Here we give a direct proof, generalizing that result:

PROPOSITION 1.10. *Let H be a Frobenius Hopf algebra and k a commutative ring, and $t \in \int_r$, $T \in \int_l^{H^*}$ as in (0.2). Then t is cocommutative iff T is also a right integral and $S^2 = id$.*

Proof. We use the formula $(T \leftarrow g) \rightarrow t = S(g)$ (0.5a). Assume t is cocommutative. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(g) &= (T \leftarrow g) \rightarrow t = \sum t_{(1)} \langle T \leftarrow g, t_{(2)} \rangle \quad (\text{by cocommutativity of } t) \\ &= \sum t_{(2)} \langle T \leftarrow g, t_{(1)} \rangle = \sum t_{(2)} \langle T, g t_{(1)} \rangle \\ &= \sum S^{-1}(g_{(3)}) g_{(2)} t_{(2)} \langle T, g_{(1)} t_{(1)} \rangle \\ &= S^{-1}(g) \sum t_{(2)} \langle T, t_{(1)} \rangle \quad (\text{by cocommutativity of } t) \\ &= S^{-1}(g)(T \rightarrow t) = S^{-1}(g). \end{aligned}$$

So $S^2 = id$.

To show that T is a 2-sided integral, recall that analogously to (0.2), the map $\theta' : H^* \rightarrow H$, $\theta'(h^*) = h^* \rightarrow t$, is a bijection. So, $\forall h^* \in H^* : \theta'(Th^*) = Th^* \rightarrow t = \langle Th^*, t \rangle$ (since Th^* is also a left integral) $= \sum \langle T, t_{(1)} \rangle \langle h^*, t_{(2)} \rangle = \sum \langle h^*, t_{(1)} \rangle \langle T, t_{(2)} \rangle = \langle h^*T, t \rangle = \langle h^*, 1 \rangle \langle T, t \rangle = \langle h^*, 1 \rangle$. But so is $\theta'(h^*T) = \langle h^*, 1 \rangle T \rightarrow t = \langle h^*, 1 \rangle$. So $h^*T = Th^*$, and T is 2-sided.

For the converse assume T is 2-sided, $S = S^{-1}$, and use (0.5)(b) and (c); let $g^*, h^* \in H^*$. Since comultiplication in H is dual to multiplication in H^* , it suffices to show that

$$\langle g^*h^*, t \rangle = \langle h^*g^*, t \rangle.$$

Let $h = \theta^{-1}(h^*)$, i.e., $h^* = h \rightarrow T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g^*h^*, t \rangle &= \langle g^*, h^* \rightarrow t \rangle = \langle g^*, (h \rightarrow T) \rightarrow t \rangle && \text{(by 0.5(b))} \\ &= \langle g^*, S^{-1}(h^i) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h^*g^*, t \rangle &= \langle g^*, t \leftarrow h^* \rangle = \langle g^*, t \leftarrow (h \rightarrow T) \rangle && \text{(by 0.5(c))} \\ &= \langle g^*, S(h^i) \rangle && \text{(since } S = S^{-1}) \\ &= \langle g^*, S^{-1}(h^i) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so the result follows. ■

THEOREM 1.11. *Let A be a left H -module algebra with a central trace 1 element c . If $t \in \int_l$ is cocommutative and σ is an invertible normal 2-cocycle, then $A \#_\sigma H$ is a separable extension of A .*

Proof. Set $u = S(t)$; then u is cocommutative. As in Corollary 1.9, $A \#_\sigma H/A$ is H -Galois. We define an element $\omega \in A \#_\sigma H \# H^*$, satisfying the requirements of Theorem 1.8(2). Let

$$\omega = \sum_{(u)} (\sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_\sigma S(u_{(1)}) \# T)(c \#_\sigma u_{(4)} \# \varepsilon).$$

Then

$$t \rightarrow \omega = \sum (\sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_\sigma S(u_{(1)}) \# t \rightarrow T)(c \#_\sigma u_{(4)} \# \varepsilon).$$

So we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum (\sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_\sigma S(u_{(1)}))(c \#_\sigma u_{(4)}) \\ &= \sum (\sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(4)}), u_{(5)})(S(u_{(3)}) \cdot c) \sigma(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(6)})) \#_\sigma S(u_{(1)}) u_{(7)} \\ &= \sum (\sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(4)}), u_{(5)})(S(u_{(3)}) u_{(6)} S(u_{(7)}) \cdot c) \sigma(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(8)})) \#_\sigma S(u_{(1)}) u_{(9)} \\ &\quad \text{(by the twisted module condition)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum (\sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(4)}), u_{(5)}) \sigma(S(u_{(3)}), u_{(6)}) (S(u_{(2)}) u_{(8)} S(u_{(7)}) \cdot c) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)}) u_{(9)}) \\
&\quad (\text{since } S^{-1} = S) \\
&= \sum (S(u_{(2)}) \cdot c) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)}) u_{(3)} \quad (\text{since } u \text{ is cocommutative}) \\
&= \sum S(u_{(1)}) \cdot c \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(3)}) u_{(2)} = \sum S(u_{(1)}) \cdot c \#_{\sigma} S^{-1}(u_{(3)}) u_{(2)} \\
&= S(u) \cdot c = t \cdot c = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

To show that $\omega \in C_{A \#_{\sigma} H \#_{H^*}(A \#_{\sigma} H)}$, by the bijectivity of $[\cdot, \cdot]$, it suffices to show that if $\tilde{\omega} = \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)}) \otimes_A (c \#_{\sigma} u_{(4)})$, then $\forall z \in A \#_{\sigma} H$, $(z \otimes 1) \tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega} (1 \otimes z) \forall a \in A$.

First let $z = a \#_{\sigma} 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum \sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)}) \otimes_A (c \#_{\sigma} u_{(4)}) (a \#_{\sigma} 1) \\
&= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)}) \otimes_A c(u_{(4)} \cdot a) \#_{\sigma} u_{(5)} \\
&\quad (\text{since } c \in Z(A), \text{ and the tensor is over } A = (A \# H)^{H^*}) \\
&= \sum (\sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)})) (u_{(4)} \cdot a \#_{\sigma} 1) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(5)} \\
&= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(3)}), u_{(4)}) (S(u_{(2)}) u_{(5)} \cdot a) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)}) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(6)} \\
&\quad (\text{by the twisted module condition}) \\
&= \sum (S(u_{(3)}) u_{(4)} \cdot a) \sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(5)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)}) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(6)} \\
&= \sum a \sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)}) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(4)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, let $z = 1 \#_{\sigma} h$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)}) \otimes_A (c \#_{\sigma} u_{(4)}) (1 \#_{\sigma} h) \\
&= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)}) \otimes_A c \sigma(u_{(4)}, h_{(1)}) \#_{\sigma} u_{(5)} h_{(2)} \\
&\quad (\text{since } c \in Z(A)) \\
&= \sum (\sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)})) (\sigma(u_{(4)}, h_{(1)}) \#_{\sigma} 1) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(5)} h_{(2)} \\
&= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(3)}), u_{(4)}) (S(u_{(2)}) \cdot \sigma(u_{(5)}, h_{(1)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)})) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(6)} h_{(2)} \\
&\quad (\text{by Lemma 0.17(2)}) \\
&= \sum \sigma(S(u_{(3)}) u_{(4)}, h_{(1)}) \sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(5)} h_{(2)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)}) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(6)} h_{(3)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}h_{(1)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)}) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(4)}h_{(2)} \\
&\quad \text{(by expanding } h) \\
&= \sum \sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}S(u_{(2)}h_{(4)}), u_{(3)}h_{(5)}) \#_{\sigma} h_{(2)}(S(u_{(1)})h_{(3)}) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(4)}h_{(6)} \\
&= \sum \sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_{\sigma} h_{(2)}S(u_{(1)}) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(4)} \quad \text{(by 0.17(1))} \\
&= \sum (\sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}S(u_{(3)}), u_{(4)}) \sigma^{-1}(h_{(2)}, S(u_{(2)})) \#_{\sigma} h_{(3)}) \\
&\quad \times (1 \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)})) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(4)} \quad \text{(by 0.17(3))} \\
&= \sum (\sigma^{-1}(h_{(1)}, S(u_{(3)})u_{(4)})(h_{(2)} \cdot \sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(5)})) \#_{\sigma} h_{(3)}) \\
&\quad \times (1 \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)})) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(4)} \\
&= \sum (1 \#_{\sigma} h)(\sigma^{-1}(S(u_{(2)}), u_{(3)}) \#_{\sigma} S(u_{(1)})) \otimes_A c \#_{\sigma} u_{(4)}.
\end{aligned}$$

So $A \#_{\sigma} H$ is a separable extension of A . ■

As an immediate corollary we now have:

COROLLARY 1.12. *Let A and H satisfy the conditions of the theorem. If A is semisimple Artinian, so is $A \#_{\sigma} H$.*

Another corollary of the central theorem is Doi's result:

COROLLARY 1.13 [D2, Th. 4]. *Let A/A^H be an H^* -Galois extension, with $\varepsilon(T) = \langle T, 1 \rangle = 1$. Then A/A^H is a separable extension. Consequently, A/A^H is a semisimple extension, and if A^H is semisimple Artinian, so is A .*

Proof. Letting $\omega = 1 \# 1$, condition (2) of the theorem is satisfied. ■

More generally, we will consider $A^{H'}$ for any Hopf subalgebra $H' < H$ (in the above corollary $H' = k$, so $A^{H'} = A$).

A classical result of Galois theory states that if F is a field extension of K , F/K a finite Galois extension with $G = \text{Gal}(F/K)$ and $G' < G$ a subgroup, then $F^{G'}/K$ is a separable extension. This was generalized in [HS, Th. 3.4] to an arbitrary algebra A . We now generalize to certain finite dimensional Hopf algebras H over a field k .

Assume that k is a field, and H is finite dimensional in 1.14–1.16.

The following proposition is a consequence of [NZ]:

PROPOSITION 1.14. *Let $H' < H$ be a Hopf subalgebra, $t \in \int_l^H$, $t' \in \int_l^{H'}$ left integrals of H and H' , resp. Then:*

- (1) [LR, Prop. 2.4]. *There exists $y \in A$ such that $t = t'y$.*
 (2) *If H^* is semisimple, then there exists $T \in \int_l^{H^*}$ so that $T \rightarrow t' = 1$.*

Proof of (2). Since k is a field, it suffices to show that $T \rightarrow t' \neq 0$. Assume $T \rightarrow t' = 0$. Let $\Delta(t') = \sum s_i \otimes t_i$, with $\{s_i\}$ and $\{t_i\}$ bases of H' . Then $0 = T \rightarrow t' = \sum s_i \langle T, t_i \rangle$, the independence of $\{s_i\}$ implies $\langle T, t_i \rangle = 0 \forall i$ —and so $\langle T, H' \rangle = 0$. But this contradicts $\varepsilon(T) = \langle T, 1 \rangle \neq 0$.

Using some ideas from the proof of [HS, Prop. 2.3] we show:

THEOREM 1.15. *Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over a field k , let A/A^H be H^* -Galois, with a trace 1 element in A , and assume H^* is semisimple. If $H' < H$ is a Hopf subalgebra, for which there exists $z \in H$ so that $t = t'y = zt'$, $t' \in \int_l^{H'}$, then $A^{H'}/A^H$ is a separable extension.*

Remark 1.16. Note that if unimodularity of H implies unimodularity of H' for any Hopf subalgebra $H' < H$, then there is indeed such a $z \in H$, for then $t = S(t) = S(y)S(t') = S(y)t'$. This is not always true; for instance the Drinfeld double $D(H)$ is always unimodular [R, Th. 4(a)]. However, we ask the following:

Question 1.17. When does unimodularity of H imply unimodularity of every Hopf subalgebra $H' < H$?

Proof of Theorem 1.15. Let $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i \otimes y_i$ be the element for which $\sum_{i=1}^m [x_i, y_i] = 1$, and let $c \in A$ be an element of trace 1. Note that $1 = t \cdot c = t'y \cdot c = t' \cdot (y \cdot c)$, and let $b = y \cdot c$. We claim that

$$\sum t' \cdot x_i \otimes_{A^H} t' \cdot (y_i b)$$

is a separability idempotent for $A^{H'}/A^H$. First, to show that $\sum (t' \cdot x_i) t' \cdot (y_i b) = 1$, note that $\sum (t' \cdot x_i) y_i = 1$. For

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (t' \cdot x_i) y_i &= T \rightarrow \sum (t' \cdot x_i) t y_i \\ &= T \rightarrow \sum t' x_i t y_i = T \rightarrow t' = 1 \quad \text{by Prop. 1.14(2)} \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\sum (t' \cdot x_i) (t' \cdot y_i b) = t' \cdot \left(\sum (t' \cdot x_i) y_i b \right) = t' \cdot b = 1.$$

Next, in order to prove that $\forall \omega \in A^{H'}$,

$$\sum \omega(t' \cdot x_i) \otimes_{A^H} t' \cdot (y_i b) = \sum t' \cdot x_i \otimes_{A^H} t' \cdot (y_i b) \omega$$

we will first show the following equalities:

$\forall \omega \in A^{H'}$:

$$(a) \quad \sum_i (t' \cdot x_i) t \cdot (y_i b \omega) = \omega,$$

$$(b) \quad \sum_i t \cdot (\omega x_i) t' \cdot (y_i b) = \omega,$$

$$(c) \quad \forall i, j: t \cdot (y_i b t' \cdot (\omega x_j)) = t \cdot (t' \cdot (y_i b \omega) x_j).$$

$$(a) \quad \sum (t' \cdot x_i) t \cdot (y_i b \omega) = \sum t' \cdot (x_i t \cdot (y_i b \omega)) = (\text{by 0.13}) \quad t' \cdot (b \omega) = (t' \cdot b) \omega = \omega.$$

(b) is proven in the same manner.

(c) Here we need $t = zt'$:

$$\begin{aligned} t \cdot (y_i b t' \cdot (\omega x_j)) &= t \cdot (y_i b \omega (t' \cdot x_j)) \\ &= zt' \cdot (y_i b \omega (t' \cdot x_j)) = z \cdot (t' \cdot (y_i b \omega) (t' \cdot x_j)) \\ &= zt' \cdot (t' \cdot (y_i b \omega) x_j) = t \cdot (t' \cdot (y_i b \omega) x_j). \end{aligned}$$

Now, the separability condition:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_i \omega(t' \cdot x_i) \otimes_{A^H} t' \cdot (y_i b) \\ &= \sum_i t' \cdot (\omega x_i) \otimes_{A^H} t' \cdot (y_i b) \quad (\text{by (a)}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (t' \cdot x_j) t \cdot (y_j b t' \cdot (\omega x_i)) \otimes_{A^H} t' \cdot (y_i b) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (t' \cdot x_j) \otimes_{A^H} t \cdot (y_j b t' \cdot (\omega x_i)) t' \cdot (y_i b) \quad (\text{by (c)}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (t' \cdot x_j) \otimes_{A^H} t \cdot (t' \cdot (y_j b \omega) x_i) t' \cdot (y_i b) \quad (\text{by (b)}) \\ &= \sum_j (t' \cdot x_j) \otimes_{A^H} t' \cdot (y_j b \omega) \\ &= \sum_j t' \cdot x_j \otimes_{A^H} t' \cdot (y_j b) \omega. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 1.18 [HS, Prop. 3.4]. *If $H = kG$, G finite, A/A^G is Galois, and A has an element of trace 1, then for any subgroup $G' < G$, $A^{G'}/A^G$ is a separable extension.*

Proof. $H^* = (kG)^*$ is always semisimple, and for any $G' < G$, kG' is unimodular. Hence the result follows by Remark 1.16. \blacksquare

As another corollary, we get the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.19. *Let H be a finite dimensional semisimple, cosemisimple Hopf algebra over a field k , and let A/A^H be H^* -Galois. Then for any Hopf subalgebra $H' < H$, $A^{H'}/A^H$ is a separable extension.*

Proof. The element $c = 1$ is a trace 1 element in A since H is semisimple, and $\varepsilon(t') \neq 0$ since $\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon(t') \varepsilon(y) \neq 0$, so H' is semisimple. Hence H' is unimodular, and the result follows by Remark 1.16. ■

Returning to the general case, we infer further properties of $A \#_{\sigma} H$ from those of A , resulting from Theorem 1.8. We follow [RR], and consider the following conditions:

(Ai) A^H is an A^H -bimodule direct summand of A (equivalently, A has a trace 1 element $c \in C_A(A^H)$, by Prop. 1.6).

(Aii) The multiplication map $A \otimes_{A^H} A \xrightarrow{\mu} A \rightarrow 0$ is a split epimorphism of A -bimodules (equivalently, A/A^H is a separable extension, by 0.16).

(B) Given the induction and restriction functors:

$$T = A \otimes_{A^H} : \text{mod } A^H \rightarrow \text{mod } A$$

$$R = \text{restriction} : \text{mod } A \rightarrow \text{mod } A^H,$$

(T, R) is an adjoint pair of functors.

The following are generalizations of [So, RR]:

THEOREM 1.20. *Let H be a Hopf algebra, Frobenius as an algebra over a commutative ring k . Let A be a left H -module algebra, and σ a normal invertible cocycle. Then conditions (Ai) and (Aii) are satisfied with respect to H^* acting on $A \#_{\sigma} H$ and under either of the following:*

- (1) $\varepsilon(t) = 1, t \in \int_l$.
- (2) A has a central element of trace 1 and \int_l is cocommutative.

Proof. Assume (1) holds. Then (Ai) holds by Proposition 1.6 with $c = 1$ and (Aii) holds by Corollary 1.9.

Assume (2) holds. Then (Ai) holds by Proposition 1.6, and (Aii) holds by Theorem 1.11. ■

THEOREM 1.21 [D2, Th. 5]. *Let H be Frobenius, and let A/A^H be H^* -Galois. Then condition (B) holds for right A and A^H modules.*

A proof similar to Doi's shows that (B) holds on the left as well.

THEOREM 1.22. *Let H be a Hopf algebra, Frobenius as an algebra, and A a left H -module algebra such that A/A^H is an H^* -Galois extension. Then A is 1-Gorenstein iff A^H is.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.21 condition (B) is satisfied; hence the result follows from [RR, Th. 1.3]. ■

As an immediate consequence of [RR, Th. 1.3], and the fact that $A \#_{\sigma} H$ is H -Galois for any normal invertible cocycle σ , we get the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.23. *Let H be a Hopf algebra, Frobenius as an algebra, A a left H -module algebra, and σ an invertible normal cocycle. If either*

- (i) $\varepsilon(t) = 1$, or
- (ii) A has a central element of trace 1 and \int_1 is cocommutative, then:

(1) *If A is Artinian, then $A \#_{\sigma} H$ is of finite representation type iff A is.*

(2) $\text{gl. dim } A \#_{\sigma} H = \text{gl. dim } A$.

(3) $A \#_{\sigma} H$ is selfinjective iff A is.

(4) $A \#_{\sigma} H$ is an Auslander algebra iff A is.

REFERENCES

- [Abe] E. ABE, "Hopf Algebras," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- [BCM] R. J. BLATTNER, M. COHEN, AND S. MONTGOMERY, Crossed products and linear actions of Hopf algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **298** (1986), 671–711.
- [BM] R. J. BLATTNER AND S. MONTGOMERY, Crossed products and Galois extensions of Hopf algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **137** (1989), 37–54.
- [CF] M. COHEN AND D. FISCHMAN, Hopf algebra actions, *J. Algebra* **100** (1986), 363–379.
- [CFM] M. COHEN, D. FISCHMAN, AND S. MONTGOMERY, Hopf Galois extensions, Smash products, and Morita equivalence, *J. Algebra* **133** (1990), 351–372.
- [D1] Y. DOI, Algebras with total integrals, *Comm. Algebra* **13** (1985), 2137–2159.
- [D2] Y. DOI, Hopf extensions of algebras and Maschke-type theorems, *Israel J. Math.* **72**, Nos. 1–2 (1990), 99–108.
- [DT] Y. DOI AND M. TAKEUCHI, Hopf–Galois extensions of algebras, the Miyashita–Ulbrich action, and Azumaya algebras, *J. Algebra* **121** (1989), 488–516.
- [HS] K. HIRATA AND K. SUGANO, On semi-simple and separable extensions over non-commutative rings, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **18**, No. 4 (1966), 360–373.
- [Jac] N. JACOBSON, "Basic Algebra II," Freeman, San Francisco, CA, 1980.
- [KT] H. F. KREIMER AND M. TAKEUCHI, Hopf algebras and Galois extensions of an algebra, *Indiana J. Math.* **30** (1981), 675–692.
- [LR] R. G. LARSON AND D. E. RADFORD, Semisimple Hopf algebras, to appear.
- [NZ] W. NICHOLS AND M. ZOELLER, A Hopf algebra freeness theorem, *American J. Math.* **111**, No. 2 (1989), 381–384.
- [Par] B. PAREIGIS, When Hopf algebras are Frobenius algebras, *J. Algebra* **18** (1971), 588–596.
- [Pas] D. PASSMAN, It's essentially Maschke's theorem, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **1** (1983), 37–54.

- [R] D. RADFORD, Minimal quasitriangular Hopf algebras, preprint.
- [RR] I. REITEN AND C. RIEDTMANN, Skew group algebras in the representation theory of Artin algebras, *J. Algebra* **92** (1985), 224–282.
- [So] O. SOLBERG, Hopf algebra constructions and representation theory, *Comm. Algebra* **17**, No. 7 (1989), 1775–1786.
- [St] B. STENSTRÖM, “Rings of Quotients,” Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1975.
- [Sw] M. SWEEDLER, “Hopf Algebras,” Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [U] K. H. ULBRICH, Galoiserweiterungen von nicht-kommutativen Ringen, *Comm. Algebra* **10**, No. 6 (1982), 655–672.